

# **Principles of Assessing Industry Factors in the Rating Analysis of Non-financial Corporations**

---

## **Chemicals**

This document is part of the Methodology for Assigning Credit Ratings to Non-financial Corporations under the International Scale (hereinafter, the Methodology) and describes the specifics of conducting rating analyses of the operational and financial profiles of chemical companies.

The document provides a list of indicators used, factor weights, assessment score boundaries for quantitative factors, and the principles of assessing qualitative factors for the chemical industry.

# 1 Industry Companies

The assessment approaches described in this document are applicable to companies whose core business is the production of inorganic and organic chemicals, potash and phosphate fertilizers, and other products.

## 2 Rating Factor Structure

Creditworthiness analyses of rated entities in the chemicals industry is based on assessing eight indicator blocks, which are combined in two profiles and have their own weights totaling 100%. The weights presented in Table 1 are base weights, i.e. they may change depending on the assessment scores derived for indicator blocks (see subsection 4.1.3 of the Methodology for a description of the floating weights concept).

**Table 1. Scorecard for the chemical industry**

Profile	Factors	Factors weighting	Sub-factors
Operational profile	Business profile	20%	Market position
			Added value and cyclicity of demand
			Product and geographic diversification
	Financial policy and corporate governance	15%	Financial policy
			Corporate governance
Financial profile	Size	15%	Revenue (USD bln)
			Net fixed assets (USD bln)
	Profitability	10%	EBITDA margin
			Return on assets (ROA)
	Leverage	15%	Total debt to funds from operations (FFO) before interest payments
			Qualitative leverage assessment
	Coverage	15%	FFO before interest payments to interest payments
	Liquidity	5%	Liquidity ratio
			Qualitative liquidity assessment
	Cash flow	5%	Free cash flow (FCF) margin
Qualitative assessment of FCF stability			

Source: ACRA

## 3 Operational Profile

The following describes the basic factors in the rating analysis of the operational profile, the degree of their influence when determining the credit rating of a non-financial corporation, and assessment specifics.

To assess operational profile qualitative indicators for rated entities in the industry, ACRA uses a set of characteristics specific to the industry that translate into a qualitative assessment category. Operational profile indicator weights are shown in Table 5.

### **3.1. Business Profile**

The business profile assessment of chemical industry companies is part of analyzing their creditworthiness, given the volatility of commodity prices, cyclical markets and high capital intensity of production.

The companies in the sector operate in conditions of constantly changing commodity prices, so it is important to assess their ability to maintain a sufficient level of profitability regardless of the price situation. Additional uncertainty regarding the creditworthiness of companies is due to changes in the balance of supply and demand. Important factors in improving the financial reliability of companies in the sector are creation of high value added products, production efficiency, and diversification of the product line.

The business profile is assessed taking into account the following subfactors subject to qualitative assessment.

#### **3.1.1. Market position**

A company's market position is assessed in terms of its share in global markets, the cost of production and the presence of barriers to entry into the key market.

The qualitative assessment category for the market position is determined according to Table 2.

**Table 2. Qualitative assessment of the market position**

Assessment category	Main features
I	One of the largest producers in the world, high level of revenue diversification, one of the lowest cost producers; most stable market position and leadership in production processes almost completely protect against new competitors and create very high market entry barriers
II	Key global producer in a number of segments, revenues are well diversified by final markets, low cost producer; strong market position and high-tech production are protected by moderately high market entry barriers
III	Industry average cost producer, revenue is somewhat concentrated on a few products and markets, some technological advantages and minor threats to the market position are partly protected by the presence of market entry barriers
IV	Cost of production is medium or high, revenue is highly dependent on a single product or a limited number of markets in a single region, no technological advantage, low market entry barriers, a high threat to the occupied market position
V	High cost of production, revenue totally dependent on one product or one target market, significant structural and technological barriers to development of the company

Source: ACRA

### 3.1.2. Added value and cyclicity of demand

Producing high added value products (with a stable supply-demand balance in the target market) allows the volatility of the financial metrics of a company to be reduced and the predictability of its operating and financial performance to be increased. Market stability reduces potential risks associated with sharp fluctuations in both the prices and demand for the products sold, thereby increasing the creditworthiness of companies. Integrated production processes help reduce the risks of involving third parties in the production of intermediate products which could potentially entail disruption of the production run (especially in times of crisis). Vertical integration, if any, smooths out fluctuations in the prices of products at individual processing stages, which favorably affects financial performance.

The qualitative assessment category for added value and cyclicity of demand is determined according to Table 3.

**Table 3. Qualitative assessment of added value and cyclicity**

Assessment category	Main features
I	Target market exhibits very low cyclicity; company produces high added value products and integrates the complete added value chain for its products
II	Target market is low to moderately cyclical; company has a well-diversified range of both high value added products and commodity-type products with a low share of sales in highly cyclic markets
III	Target market is moderately cyclical; company integrates a part of the added value chain for its products, which includes several processing stages
IV	Target market is highly cyclical; company produces one commodity-type product and has a moderate market position or sells its products in a cyclic market in one region; no vertical integration in the added value chain
V	Target market is highly cyclical; company produces commodity-type products that either have limited use and a limited range of consumers or is sold in a highly cyclic local market

Source: ACRA

### 3.1.3. Product and geographic diversification

Assessing the product diversification of a company involves analyzing its product line. Offering a single product makes a company more vulnerable during periods of declining demand for that product. By contrast, a diversified range distributes the risks associated with structural changes in consumption and supply of a particular product.

Geographic diversification is assessed in terms of whether a company has operating assets in several countries (improved access to regional markets and reduced foreign trade policy change risks) and whether there is no concentration of production in one place or in a limited number of production sites (reduced risks of disrupted production due to accidents or adverse events).

The qualitative assessment category for product and geographic diversification is determined according to Table 4.

**Table 4. Qualitative assessment of product and geographic diversification**

Assessment category	Main features
I	Well-diversified product line, no concentration of production assets in any single country
II	Diversified product line, moderate concentration of production in one country
III	Product line is limited to several low-margin commodity-type products; average production diversification with production assets concentrated in one country
IV	Weak product diversification, product line is concentrated on one low-margin commodity-type product with a moderate position in a limited number of target markets; production assets are located in the same country
V	Very weak product diversification, product line is limited to one low-margin commodity-type product; very weak diversification of production, concentration in one production site

Source: ACRA

### 3.2. Financial Policy and Corporate Governance

To assess the financial policy and corporate governance of rated entities in the chemicals industry, ACRA uses a common set of characteristics that translate into a qualitative assessment category for all industries (for a general description of the concept of the corporate governance qualitative assessment, see Section 5 of the Methodology). The assessment of financial policy and corporate governance is carried out according to Table 5.

## 4 Financial Profile

The following describes the basic factors in the rating analysis of the financial profile, the degree of their influence when determining the credit rating of a non-financial corporation, and assessment specifics.

To assess the qualitative indicators in the financial profile of rated entities, ACRA uses a common set of characteristics that translate into a qualitative assessment category for all industries (for a general description of the qualitative assessment of financial profile indicators, see Section 6 of the Methodology).

Quantitative indicators in the financial profile are assessed in points based on being part of a particular range, with qualitative indicators based on qualitative assessment categories according to Table 6.

### 4.1. Size

To assess the business scale of companies in the industry, ACRA uses the size of their revenue and the size of their net fixed assets. These indicators are important for assessing the creditworthiness of rated entities, as they affect market stability and ability to raise capital. The scale of business can show, among other things, whether a company is able to operate in a stable manner in times of changing demand for its products and rising expenditures, and whether it is able to fund cutting-edge technology research and have a better bargaining position in its relations with customers or suppliers of raw materials.

### 4.2. Profitability

The profitability of companies in the industry is assessed by using EBITDA and ROA. ACRA believes that the EBITDA margin indicator allows the profitability of a company to be assessed without

taking into account the capital intensity of production; i.e., considering only the current product offering. ROA allows the efficiency of asset management to be assessed, while taking into account the capital intensity of production for a particular product line.

### **4.3. Leverage**

Leverage is a key factor in analyzing the financial sustainability of companies in the industry.

To assess leverage, ACRA uses an indicator calculated as the ratio of total debt to FFO before interest payments. Qualitative characteristics of debt are also used to assess leverage.

### **4.4. Coverage**

To assess coverage, ACRA uses an indicator calculated as the ratio of FFO before interest payments to interest payments.

### **4.5. Liquidity**

To assess liquidity, ACRA uses the short-term liquidity ratio and qualitative characteristics.

### **4.6. Cash Flow**

To assess cash flow, ACRA uses the FCF margin, as well as the qualitative assessment of FCF stability.

The ability to fund an investment program with operating cash flow is important to companies in the industry, as their market position is largely dependent on the technological level of production and the depreciation level of fixed assets. Dividend payouts are analyzed separately. Amid high capital costs, high dividend payouts can exert significant pressure on the FCF.

**Table 5. Assessment of indicator blocks within the operational profile**

Factors	Sub-factors	Sub-factors weighting	Sub-factors score				
			5	4	3	2	1
Business profile	Market position	40%	V	IV	III	II	I
	Added value and cyclical of demand	30%	V	IV	III	II	I
	Product and geographic diversification	30%	V	IV	III	II	I
Financial policy and corporate governance	Financial policy	50%	V	IV	III	II	I
	Corporate governance	50%	V	IV	III	II	I

Source: ACRA



**Table 6. Assessment of indicator blocks within the financial profile**

Factors	Sub-factors	Sub-factors weighting	Sub-factors score				
			5	4	3	2	1
Size	Revenue (USD bln)	50%	[0;1)	[1;5)	[5;10)	[10;50)	[50; ∞)
	Net fixed assets (USD bln)	50%	[0; 1)	[1; 2)	[2; 5)	[5; 15)	[15; ∞)
Profitability	EBITDA margin	70%	(-∞; 5%)	[5%; 10%)	[10%; 20%)	[20%; 30%)	[30%; ∞)
	ROA	30%	(-∞; 0%)	[0%; 5%)	[5%; 10%)	[10%; 20%)	[20%; ∞)
Leverage	Total debt to FFO before interest payments	70%	(6; ∞)	(4; 6]	(2.5; 4]	(1; 2.5]	[0; 1]
	Qualitative leverage assessment	30%	V	IV	III	II	I
Coverage	FFO before interest payments to interest payments	100%	(-∞; 2)	[2; 4)	[4; 10)	[10; 20)	[20; ∞)
Liquidity	Liquidity ratio	50%	(-∞; 0.8)	[0.8; 1)	[1; 1.25)	[1.25; 1.5)	[1.5; ∞)
	Qualitative liquidity assessment	50%	V	IV	III	II	I
Cash flow	FCF margin	50%	(-∞; -10%)	[-10%; 0%)	[0%; 5%)	[5%; 10%)	[10%; ∞)
	Qualitative assessment of FCF stability	50%	V	IV	III	II	I

Source: ACRA

# Disclaimer

(C) 2022

Analytical Credit Rating Agency (Joint-Stock Company), ACRA (JSC)  
75, Sadovnicheskaya embankment, Moscow, Russia  
[www.acra-ratings.com](http://www.acra-ratings.com)

The Analytical Credit Rating Agency (ACRA) was founded in 2015, with its 27 shareholders representing major Russian corporate and financial institutions and its authorized capital exceeding RUB 3 bn. ACRA's main objective is to provide the Russian financial market with high-quality rating products. Methodologies and internal documents of ACRA are developed in compliance with Russian legislation and with regard to global rating industry best practices.

The provided information, including, without limitation, credit and non-credit ratings, rating assessment factors, detailed credit analysis results, methodologies, models, forecasts, analytical reviews and materials, as well as other information placed on the ACRA website (further referred to as Information), coupled with the ACRA website software and other applications, are intended for information purposes only. Information must not be modified, reproduced or distributed by any means, in any way or form, either in whole, or in part, in marketing materials, as part of public relations events, in news bulletins, in commercial materials or reports without a prior written consent from, and reference to, ACRA. Any use of Information in violation of these requirements or the law is prohibited.

ACRA credit ratings reflect ACRA's opinion about the ability of a rated entity to meet its financial obligations or about the credit risk of individual financial obligations and instruments of a rated entity at the time of publication of the relevant Information.

Non-credit ratings reflect ACRA's opinion about certain non-credit risks assumed by interested parties interacting with a rated entity.

The assigned credit and non-credit ratings reflect all material information pertaining to a rated entity and known by ACRA (including the information received from third parties), the quality and reliability of which ACRA considers appropriate. ACRA shall not be responsible for the accuracy of information provided by clients or relevant third parties. ACRA does not audit or otherwise verify the provided data and shall not be held responsible for their accuracy and completeness. ACRA conducts rating analysis of information provided by customers using its own methodologies, with the texts thereof available on ACRA's website – [www.acra-ratings.com/criteria](http://www.acra-ratings.com/criteria).

The only source that reflects the latest Information, including the one about credit and non-credit ratings assigned by ACRA, is ACRA's official website – [www.acra-ratings.com](http://www.acra-ratings.com). Information is provided on an "as is" basis.

Information shall be considered by users exclusively as ACRA's statement of opinion and must not be regarded as advice, recommendation or suggestion to buy, hold or sell securities or other financial instruments of any kind, nor shall it be viewed as an offer or advertisement.

Neither ACRA, nor its employees and persons affiliated with ACRA (further referred to as the ACRA Parties) provide any direct or implied guarantee expressed in any form or by any means regarding the accuracy, timeliness, completeness or applicability of Information for making investment and other decisions. ACRA does not act as a fiduciary, auditor, investment or financial advisor. Information must be regarded solely as one of the factors affecting an investment decision or any other business decision made by any person who uses ACRA's information. It is essential that each of such persons conduct their own research and evaluation of a financial market participant, as well as an issuer and its debt obligations that may be regarded as an object of purchase, sale or possession. Users of Information shall make decisions on their own, involving their own independent advisors, if they deem it necessary.

ACRA Parties shall not be responsible for any action taken by users based on Information provided by ACRA. ACRA Parties shall under no circumstances be responsible for any direct, indirect or consequential damages or losses resulting from interpretations, conclusions, recommendations and other actions taken by third parties and directly or indirectly connected with such information.

Information provided by ACRA is valid only as of the date of preparation and publication of materials and may be amended by ACRA in the future. ACRA shall not be obliged to update, modify or supplement Information or inform anyone about such actions, unless the latter was recorded separately in a written agreement or is required by legislation of the Russian Federation.

ACRA does not provide advisory services. ACRA may provide additional services, if this does not create a conflict of interest with rating activities.

ACRA and its employees take all reasonable measures to protect all confidential and/or material non-public information in their possession from fraud, theft, unlawful use or inadvertent disclosure. ACRA provides protection of confidential information obtained in the course of its business activities as required by legislation of the Russian Federation.